Town of Yorktown Planning Board

Meeting Held - April 6, 2020

Monday, April 6, 2020 transcript begins at 7:56 pm • 41:09

SUMMARY KEYWORDS

building, joe, area, town, plan, john, smoking, landlord, point, waive, site, stormwater, submitted, feet, board, dep, tilted, application, issue, nice

SPEAKERS

Richard Fon, Planning Board Chairman; William LaScala, board member; John Savoca, board member; John Kincart, board member; Robert Garrigan, alternate board member; John Tegeder, Director of Planning; Robyn Steinberg, Town Planner; Tom D'Agostino, Assistant Town Planner; James Glatthar, Planning Board Counsel; Ed Lachterman, Town Board Liaison, and Joseph Riina and Emilio Diaz.

ATLANTIC APPLIANCE (in progress)

Joseph Riina 00:00

The elevators and so on and stairs that go up to the second floor. This, this is that portico that comes out. The, the, this is going to require a variance of approximately 10 feet. So we're going to be looking for you if you're in favor to give us a letter of endorsement to the zoning board so that we can get that squared away. The the plan as we as you, as you see it, here is the last plan that the architecture Review Board saw issued comments on it and most of the comments have been addressed. And you'll get to see that on the on the rendering of the building that will point out some of the main things that they were concerned with what for the most part they were very happy with the design of the building. Let's see what else did I have here? Okay, share another screen. So again, this is the main floor plan here. You're coming in the main entrance. There you can see the the sidewalk is all covered in this area here is the portico, the main entrance, these stairs are a secondary means of egress as well as access to the basement, to the basement which is where the warehouse is going to be located. This is the side door entrance which will take you to the elevator and the stairway, the main stairway to bring you up to the to the second floor. Right now the second floor is just shown as an open area until there's really no plan at this point. A definitely plan to fit it out but so for now, which is shown as a as an open area. So lastly, so this is the rendering in the building. So the this color, that the blue is really it's a little bluer than what came out a little bluer in the rendering than what they want. It's really is it's it's a little deeper of a blue, less of a sky blue more of a grayish blue is going to be the actual color. It just didn't come out that great in the rendering but it gives you the idea here. So, in this some of the some of the things that the Architecture Review Board asked for us to do, like, for example, on the side here, they asked, they asked us to carry this color around in the soffits, and facia here and take this center portion of the upper floor here and bring that color around into that area. We there were some window treatments that they asked us, they asked us to look at which we did. One of the things that they asked

for was if we could wrap, wrap the move around on this side, the architect looked at that and they didn't really care for that. So they they looked at the way we was originally. As far as the signage goes on here, right now this is really conceptual work. They're they're considering just doing raised letters on the building and instead of a placard type sign, so kind of working on that. I think by the by the time we have the public hearing, I should have an answer for you on there. So as you can see, this is all going to be glass here, the showroom glass is going to be wrapping around. So we've got a stone, a grayish blueish stone on the front here at the base of the columns. And that's going to wrap around and continue with this stone wall. This is that short piece of stone wall that I mentioned was going to be along the frontage and kind of just breaks up the area between the building and the road. As you can see above the wall here and here. We're going to have a railing and pretty much covers everything on the building that I wanted to point out. Yes. So with that if you have any questions

Richard Fon (Chairman) 05:18

Hey Joe, do all the employees drive the same cars?

Joseph Riina 05:22

They do.

Richard Fon (Chairman) 05:24

They look like duplicates.

Robert Garrigan 05:27

Hey Joe quick question, where that fence is on the right side of the drawing,

Joseph Riina 05:31

Yes.

Robert Garrigan 05:32

That's a change in elevation between the parking lot and the parking lot behind it? Is there a wall there?

Joseph Riina 05:38

Yes, there's a wall. I'll bring that up right now.

Robert Garrigan 05:42

Does it require any kind of a bollard or anything like that to stop somebody from driving off that wall just right through that fence?

Joseph Riina 05:47

Yeah, what what it doesn't show on here is I have a I have a guide rail in front there.

Robert Garrigan 05:52

Yeah.

Joseph Riina 05:53

And I have a guide rail on this idea. Just don't show it. Doesn't show it on here.

Robert Garrigan 05:57

Okay.

Richard Fon (Chairman) 06:03

All right. What are we missing guys?

John Kincart 06:08

Joe, is there any benefit to the owners to not join the two lots? I know they're using both for the application. But,

Joseph Riina 06:19

Yeah, I would say we, initially I would have said no until we found out we had we had to go into the buffer and really kind of encroach on that law just to get our stormwater right system in. And, you know, in order to offer some mitigation, we kind of needed to use the whole piece.

John Kincart 06:45

Oh what I'm saying would it be to their advantage to join the two lots they could potentially reduce the assessment and possibly reduce their taxes on you know, not carrying two tax bills?

Joseph Riina 07:00

I will bring that to your attention, John. Thank you. Yes.

John Kincart 07:03

And you know if I don't know if it helps with your calculations on what you're doing in terms of green infrastructure on the principal lot, but you know, you then have a, an accessory landmass if you will, to accommodate what's going on with all the obvious area and that kind of thing.

Joseph Riina 07:25

Yeah, it would not count towards that calculation because it's all measured. It's all a measurement of the impervious areas so.

John Kincart 07:35

Got it.

John Tegeder 07:37

Joe, I thought at one point, you guys did have a elevation of the other side of the building.

Joseph Riina 07:45

Yes, but I don't have it John.

John Tegeder 07:47

Okay, but we can get that?

Joseph Riina 07:48

Yeah. I'll get that

John Tegeder 07:50

As part of the package. Okay.

John Kincart 07:51

You're talking about the east side? The warehouse side, John?

John Tegeder 07:54

No, the north, north side.

John Kincart 07:55

Okay.

John Tegeder 07:56

The side that faces the post office.

John Kincart 07:58

Got it.

John Tegeder 07:59

You know, it I don't see any landscaping in that, that strip between the post office and you guys. And so I'm just wondering if you're going to be doing anything on that side.

Joseph Riina 08:09

We're actually we're actually going to use that as part of our, I'm glad you brought that up, john, we, see. So we do have some landscaping in there.

John Tegeder 08:43

Okay.

Joseph Riina 08:43

Right. It's right along that whole edge there.

John Tegeder 08:46

Do you have an idea what they are like the evergreens, I'm guessing.

Joseph Riina 08:53

Let's see what they are.

Robyn Steinberg 09:06

Upright Hornbeam.

Joseph Riina 09:11

Upright horn beam whatever they are.

John Tegeder 09:14

Okay.

Robert Garrigan 09:18

Hopefully not dear food.

John Tegeder 09:20

Yeah.

James Glatthar 09:23

I had a question about the stormwater plan.

Joseph Riina 09:26

Yes.

James Glatthar 09:27

Could you go to the storm water drawing?

Joseph Riina 09:29

Sure. Okay.

James Glatthar 09:37

I was just going to come in and it sort of gets to what John was saying. You may if you don't join that lot behind your building to the to the plan, you may have to burden that somehow with an easement.

Joseph Riina 09:57

Okay.

James Glatthar 09:59

Just so a potential buyer would know that part of the property is restricted back there. Unless, of course, you found another way to manage your stormwater.

Joseph Riina 10:10

Yeah, no, I think would be just as easy to join it, especially if it helps him in his assessment. I think he's gonna want to do that.

James Glatthar 10:19

Okay.

John Kincart 10:19

I mean, that's I can't be developed any way in the future. Right, Joe? I mean, it's, it's completely in the buffer zone and primarily in the wetland anyway, right?

Joseph Riina 10:30

Yeah I mean, the Hallocks Mill goes right through it.

John Kincart 10:33

Right.

Joseph Riina 10:33

A good part of the property is in flood, floodplain.

John Kincart 10:36

Right.

Joseph Riina 10:38

And it's got wetland areas, pockets of wetlands.

John Tegeder 10:42

And we the town would probably not be interested in coming into ownership of it at some point. So we would probably recommend combining the two.

Joseph Riina 10:51

Okay.

John Kincart 10:52

I don't think the town would want the maintenance issue.

John Tegeder 10:55

No.

John Kincart 10:56

Or liability but

Joseph Riina 11:01

Yeah, no pushback there. We're good with that.

Richard Fon (Chairman) 11:04

All right, john, what's the next step here?

John Tegeder 11:08

I think you're set up for a public hearing for the 20th.

Richard Fon (Chairman) 11:11

Okay. Now if we move these around.

John Tegeder 11:16

Yeah, well, I think what we're going to go into do, meaning the planning department is, we'll give you guys a potential schedule. And if we need if we need to move things like a week out, we'll let you know. And we'll get everybody in the loop on that make the decision.

Richard Fon (Chairman) 11:32

All right thank you very much. All right. Anything else on a Atlantic Appliance?

Joseph Riina 11:38

This one we have, we have to notice by Wednesday.

John Tegeder 11:41

Yeah, we'll figure that out. Tomorrow.

Robyn Steinberg 11:45

I already put it in the paper. So we're doing Atlantic Appliance, Nestle, and 1961 Commerce Street on April 20.

John Tegeder 11:58

Got it.

Richard Fon (Chairman) 12:01

Any other comments before we move on?

John Kincart 12:03

No.

NESTLE WATERS

Richard Fon (Chairman) 12:05

All right then next on the agenda another Joe Riina special. Nestle Waters. Discussion of site plan 3775 Crompond Road.

Joseph Riina 12:25

Am I still sharing here?

Various 12:27

Yep, Yeah. Okay yep.

Joseph Riina 12:29

Okay, okay, so since since we were before you last few things have taken place. The landlord had a phase two environmental study done in I think it was 2016 which we've provided you and pretty much decide was given a you know, pretty, pretty good bill of health. But, but you should have that on file. So if you want to look at that, you have that. Couple of weeks ago, we, we stopped we did a boys assessment study. Tom was out with us out there and Tony Russo from environmental compliance services. Did the did the analysis. We're expecting a draft report in the in the coming days and hopefully we can have a final report for you. By sometime this week. Essentially we took readings of trucks, a truck backing up in this area here which would simulate the tractors coming in and then we had truck backing up going on. What was it Tom thirty times?

Tom D'Agostino 14:00

Thirty times for both those locations, you're pointing after five different sites on old compound road, right from five different lighting angles.

Joseph Riina 14:10

We did we did readings along the tree line back here and readings up by a couple of these houses that I bought

Tom D'Agostino 14:19

Two of them, yeah.

Joseph Riina 14:20

That Tom was very successful in getting his permission to go on.

John Kincart 14:24

Thank you, Tom.

Joseph Riina 14:25

He did a, he did, he represented the town well.

Tom D'Agostino 14:29

Thank you.

Joseph Riina 14:31

So anyway, so as I said, we're expecting to have that and submit that to you guys within the next within this week, I'm hopeful. In addition to that, we just submitted an updated lighting study based on a ABACA's recommendation. So, essentially what the change was there were along this face of the building here. We had flush mounted floods. Let me see if I have a detail. No there's no detail. We had, we had flush mounted floodlights along the building here. The ABACA was concerned that even though the light levels weren't shedding off the property coming west on 202, they would be glare into or distraction to drivers. So what we've done is we've eliminated all the ones that were here there's only one here on the corner, this one here and added an additional light pole fixture which is this one right here, which then pushes all the light onto the site. We're still maintaining pretty much the same levels that we had on the previous plan. I like this better myself, because of that reason getting those lights off

this off the face of the building. And that's the change that we're proposing here. If there's no objection with that, I briefly spoke to John about it, John, if you want, give your give your opinion on it. But we'd like to go with this plan in lieu of the other plan if that's if that's acceptable.

John Tegeder 16:47

Yeah, I'm fine with the plan and the general idea my my only concern is that the the light heads themselves seem to be adjustable in way that would give opportunity for them to be tilted up. And, you know, eliminate some to somewhat, to some degree, at least, full shielding that is recommended by the code. So I think the board should understand exactly what the parameter, you know what that impact is, for instance, the CVS right in the middle of town, you know, they just put in new lights, and they have some tilt up ability, and some of those lights are tilted up, and you can see them right from the road. And a number of people have mentioned that to me that, you know, not that attractive. So I just want to make sure that the way that this is employed is not, you know, not looking into anybody's eyes and is as code compliant as possible. Other than, you know, the layout of the plan. I think it's fine.

Joseph Riina 17:55

You have any ideas, John, lock them in, you know, what do you want to do a lock them in somehow or

William LaScala 18:02

Make a stationary head. They make stationary heads.

John Tegeder 18:05

They do make stationary heads at at the very least I think the approval and on this plan and in the resolution it should state that they are supposed to be at you know, 90 degrees facing the ground or whatever.

Joseph Riina 18:21

Okay.

John Tegeder 18:21

I'm not sure. I'm not sure which one is the wall packs.

James Glatthar 18:26

But

John Tegeder 18:27

I think he's still a wolf packs in the back, right

Joseph Riina 18:31

Oh, yes, wall packs in the back and on the on the west side of the building.

John Tegeder 18:40

Okay, so, in particular, on the on the west side, they should definitely face down.

Joseph Riina 18:46

Okay.

John Tegeder 18:46

Because coming from the other the other direction, you'll be able to see them if it's pointing if they're tilted up. And also, you'd be able to see them from your you know, the neighboring property.

Joseph Riina 18:58

Okay.

John Tegeder 18:59

Okay. In the back, you'll have to worry about the effect on the you know, in leaf off condition to the, the residential neighbors. So the best situation is if they are pointed down and fully, fully shielded, and at you know, to Bill's point, if they are made that way and you don't have the opportunity to adjust them then all the better.

Joseph Riina 19:25

No problem. I'm in agreement with that. That's all I have on that.

John Kincart 19:33

It's even more important for those westerly facing lights because you don't want to have confusion with people at night thinking that their headlights in the distance or something and veering off around the sandwich shop or something. You know, thinking that traffic's coming the other way. It's not not as bad when you're traveling west, and they're facing you because there was any confusion after a late night at AJ's or something that, you know, you would at least think it was oncoming traffic on the other side of the road. You know what I'm saying?

Joseph Riina 20:09

Yes.

John Kincart 20:10

I hate it when you have floodlights coming at you and you're trying to figure out what's going on is it cars or trucks or buildings or whatever.

Joseph Riina 20:22

Okay.

Richard Fon (Chairman) 20:23

Right what are we missing?

Joseph Riina 20:27

So the only the only thing I wanted it if there's no question or any other questions?

Tom D'Agostino 20:34

The only question I have is I we don't have this but when you do the improvements on it like we just discussed just submit that stuff. We don't have this.

Joseph Riina 20:42

What's that Tom?

Tom D'Agostino 20:43

I don't think we have we don't have this update lighting plan but if you're gonna make more improvements on it, then just submit it.

Joseph Riina 20:48

Yeah, I've got to bring this into our plan set and you know, we'll get that we'll get that on there.

Richard Fon (Chairman) 20:55

Did you TV the storm water?

Joseph Riina 20:59

They ran in, there seems to be some a little bit of an issue there the, the landlord is supposed to take control that and because when they tried to TV, there was some blockages in the pipe the landlord is supposed to have it cleaned out. We've been waiting them to complete that. So that our our camera crew can go back in there and finish televising the lines. So with that said, I'm hoping that sooner than later, but that's clearly something that I'm concerned with and I've been asking for and I know my client the tenant has been pushing for it too waiting on the landlord there.

Richard Fon (Chairman) 21:47

Is it blockages or is it failure?

Joseph Riina 21:51

What's that?

Richard Fon (Chairman) 21:51

Is that a blockage or is it a failure?

Joseph Riina 21:53

No from from what I've been told is blockage.

Richard Fon (Chairman) 21:58

Have you seen the tape?

Joseph Riina 22:00

No there was at this point there's been no tape issue on it.

Richard Fon (Chairman) 22:03

Okay.

Joseph Riina 22:06

But they the camera guys kind of they got started and they didn't get very far the equipment they had was you know these these are full size drainage pipes not little small pipe so

Richard Fon (Chairman) 22:19

Right.

Joseph Riina 22:19

I'm equipment they had was was not enough to jet them out, to jet out with what the blockage.

Richard Fon (Chairman) 22:26

Okay. And what about the environmental end?

Joseph Riina 22:32

Yeah we have we've submitted a Phase II that was done by the landlord in 2016.

Richard Fon (Chairman) 22:37

Okay.

Joseph Riina 22:38

You should have that.

Richard Fon (Chairman) 22:39

All right. What are we missing? We got a public hearing set.

Joseph Riina 22:45

Yeah. So, what what I was hoping to ask for is this. Nestle is considered an essential an essential business because they supply water. They've been given, a, I guess some kind of dispensation from the state to do work on the site up to get get a complete and up and running. I think the the issue here is they've got they're against the clock because they're the site that they're at now. And I don't know if Emilio is on.

Emilio Diaz 23:25

I am on. Hello.

Joseph Riina 23:26

You are so Emilio, could you explain the issue with the deadline? And and before you do that, the what I'm asking for is can we waive because what we're set up for is a PIH. Can we waive that in this instance, and make that the formal sanctioned public hearing, but Emilio, if you'll just explain that the the time constraint here.

Emilio Diaz 23:50

Oh no, that the time is just predicated on when that lease in our facility, our current location in Elmsford is is due. We have already received several extensions from the landlord. At this point, they don't appear inclined to give us another extension. So we're looking to we're needing to be out of that location by the end of May.

Richard Fon (Chairman) 24:15

I don't know how we would get involved in it. I mean, at this point, you know, we are going to be meeting each week but past that Joe to how soon you can get everything ready. I mean, do they have a building permit to work on site right?

Joseph Riina 24:39

Not not not to do any work on the outside of the building if they have some some ability to do some work in the inside of the building. So

Richard Fon (Chairman) 24:49

I saw past that. If you know we have a public hearing set. I don't know what it is.

Joseph Riina 24:59

Well the question is can we waive the PIH, and just make that the formal public hearing?

John Kincart 25:06

I have no problem with that, given there is kind of a, you know, a reuse of existing site and building really for the most part.

Richard Fon (Chairman) 25:16

I have no problem waiving the Public Informational.

William LaScala 25:19

Like I said, I think we should tell your client that there's a moratorium on evictions, nine, nine tenths of the law is possession. So what are you gonna do? Until you guys out? there? Oh, no, you'll be there until June, July, August.

Joseph Riina 25:40

But on the corporate level, these kind of things, you know,

William LaScala 25:44

I understand what what

Richard Fon (Chairman) 25:46

What's the what's the feeling of the board?

William LaScala 25:48

They're not under threat of eviction, that's for sure.

Richard Fon (Chairman) 25:51

So what's the feeling of the board in this particular case? And I agree, you know, with the feeling of the board, about not waiving all Public Informational Hearings, but in this case with the extent to what they're doing or not doing out there, does anybody have a issue waiving the Public Informational?

John Savoca 26:10

No, I don't.

John Kincart 26:12

No.

William LaScala 26:14

How about we make a motion to waive the Public Hearing or Informational Hearing and go to a Public Hearing?

Richard Fon (Chairman) 26:19

Go to a Public Hearing. Is there a motion?

William LaScala 26:22

Motion.

John Kincart 26:23

Second.

Richard Fon (Chairman) 26:24

All those in favor?

John Savoca 26:25

Aye.

Richard Fon (Chairman) 26:26

Aye. Motion carries.

Joseph Riina 26:28

Good night. Thank you.

James Glatthar 26:31

If I could just say one thing, Mr. Chairman. We have once we hold the Public Hearing and close it, we have 62 days to make a decision on the application, you're going to have a difficult time making the environmental findings that you have to make, one of which is that the application will have no material adverse impact on water quality unless we know this functioning stormwater system on site.

Richard Fon (Chairman) 27:02

Yep. These guys got to get to work.

John Kincart 27:04

They do.

Joseph Riina 27:04

No I don't disagree with that at all.

Richard Fon (Chairman) 27:07

Well, we will do what we can on our end but, you know, it's it's a lot of this is gonna be on the applicant. It's go time for them.

Joseph Riina 27:16

Yup. Understood.

Richard Fon (Chairman) 27:18

All right Joe so it's on you now.

Joseph Riina 27:20

Okay. Thank you very much.

Richard Fon (Chairman) 27:23

Anything else with this one?

Various 27:24

Nope. Good.

William LaScala 27:25

That's it.

NANTUCKET SOUND SONS, LLC

Richard Fon (Chairman) 27:27

All right, then I'm gonna move on to Nantucket Sound LLC. This is a discussion of a site plan. Location is 385 Kear Street. Different applicant? Oh, it's Joe Riina again.

Joseph Riina 27:45

Yeah, yeah, it's me. Um, yeah, I mean, there's really the only new thing do a new thing on this when we submitted a revised landscape plan and addressed some of the comments from the the ABACA. I'm just trying to pull it up right now. Just give me a second. And the change is really minor. I don't know why it's not helping me here.

Robyn Steinberg 28:30

Ah, let's see. I might have to let you again. Hold on. No, you should be able to.

Joseph Riina 28:47

Okay, let me try it. Here we go, okay. And really their main their their main comment and was that they, we had this pretty tightly landscaped along here. And it was their opinion that should be loosened up a little bit to not not to provide a solid screening of the building but to provide some filtered screening on the building from here especially the retail you know, the fact they can have a retail use there would be helpful for the retail use to have some visibility from Kear Street that's that was the extent of the comments and that's I don't have the the original plan handy here but to show to show what was done but essentially that's what Frank did here was he kind of lightened this up in here and again, provided just filtered screening that rather than full on screening.

John Kincart 29:58

We talked about that at one point, right?

Richard Fon (Chairman) 30:02

Yeah, I think it was discussed. Listen, I think the ARB in their expert, they have a landscape architect on their board. I would yield anything that he you know, he sees.

John Tegeder 30:17

The only thing that does, Joe, I think they look like they're designated as TG, is that correct?

Joseph Riina 30:25

Let me zoom in here.

John Tegeder 30:40

Yeah. So that's listed as Green Giant, and they are called out as five to six feet high.

Joseph Riina 30:48

Right.

John Tegeder 30:49

So a Green Giant, I think can grow taller than that. And I think much taller.

John Kincart 30:55

Yeah.

John Tegeder 30:56

I think you're going to have to have some specific maintenance of those if you keep them. The other thing is I'm not sure how that's going to really look at five to six feet high from Kear Street, which is where you're trying to affect some, some partial screening. I'm I'm guessing that something lower like, like a hedge, like might work a little better.

Joseph Riina 31:24

Can those be maintained as a hedge?

John Tegeder 31:29

That I don't know?

Joseph Riina 31:30

Okay,

John Kincart 31:30

They can but they can grow very tall.

Joseph Riina 31:33

Yeah.

Robert Garrigan 31:35

It's a fugid Green Giant?

Joseph Riina 31:37

Yep.

William LaScala 31:38

They're an arborvites, they'll grow about 15 feet easy.

Richard Fon (Chairman) 31:41

Monsters.

Robert Garrigan 31:42

But you can cut them as a hedge. They can grow very dense.

John Tegeder 31:46

Yeah.

Robert Garrigan 31:46

And they're deer resistant.

Joseph Riina 31:50

I'll pass that along John to Frank.

John Tegeder 31:52

Yeah, right. And so for instance, I'm not sure that you know where you have the little table seating area that you want to completely hide that behind a thick wall

Joseph Riina 32:02

I would agree.

John Tegeder 32:03

of green, you know, I really think the effort that's being put into the building and that the only place that you have the commercial area, I think you should endeavor to accentuate it and not really hide it.

Joseph Riina 32:16

Okay.

John Tegeder 32:17

So I think understanding how the five or six foot height is supposed to work is critical.

Joseph Riina 32:23

Okay. I will pass that along.

Richard Fon (Chairman) 32:30

All right, what else you got Joe?

Joseph Riina 32:32

That's it. I mean, as far as this, there's nothing new to report on this. We're just waiting for the PIH. In the meantime, we're, we're on hold because the DEP we can't really get anywhere with the storm water because the DEP is not doing any testing right now. So we're kind of stuck in the mud with that and can't really further the engineering any further along than we have it.

Richard Fon (Chairman) 33:02

Is there anything that the town could do to help you with the DEP?

Joseph Riina 33:08

Not until not until we get it through this whole pandemic situation because they're they're basically not any not doing any field work and they're not in the office unless, unless it's a potential environmental disaster of some type.

Richard Fon (Chairman) 33:28

Understood. Okay, anybody else have anything on the application?

Various 33:34

Nope.

TOWN BOARD REFERRAL - PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO TOWN CODE SECTION "SMOKING"

Richard Fon (Chairman) 33:37

Okay, so next I'll move on to Joe's next application, the Town Board Referral on smoking.

Joseph Riina 33:45

No smoking.

Richard Fon (Chairman) 33:47

I think this is a no brainer. Thanks, Joe.

Joseph Riina 33:50

All right. Well, good night, everybody.

Various 33:52 Goodnight Joe.

Robyn Steinberg 33:54

Bye.

Joseph Riina 33:55

Bye

Richard Fon (Chairman) 33:55

All right. John, do you want to talk about this or is it self explanatory?

John Tegeder 33:58

I think it's mostly pretty, I think it's self explanatory it's really just updating and make it making it you know go with what we even expect today so I don't have anything to talk about it on it really.

Richard Fon (Chairman) 34:01

I think it's a no brainer I think and I don't have any issues?

Various 34:19

No. Not at all.

John Kincart 34:20

I think we should recommend that there should be no smoking on the town owned properties period vaping, smoking

William LaScala 34:29

I'd have to agree with that.

John Kincart 34:31

Cannibus, anything

Richard Fon (Chairman) 34:33

What?

Ed Lachterman 34:39

unbearable now guys

John Kincart 34:41

Medical and otherwise

James Glatthar 34:43

Was that question asked out of misunderstanding or out of shock?

William LaScala 34:51

at a necessity

James Glatthar 34:55

If I could make one comment on the language. If the language as it's written says lighted tobacco and that term is a little bit ambiguous, to me I'd prefer ignited.

Richard Fon (Chairman) 35:14

What do you think?

John Kincart 35:15

I think that's awesome. Nice to have a teammate.

Ed Lachterman 35:21

Ladies and gentlemen just out of you know, a point in general if you say on all town property, usually employee work or the building can go 100 feet away from an entrance and be able to smoke.

John Kincart 35:37

Okay,

Ed Lachterman 35:38

so you'd be eliminating that by saying town property. So I would just be cautious about that because any of our smoking employees will now need to go where to

John Tegeder 35:52

the street, you

Ed Lachterman 35:55

the grace building across the street from Town Hall?

Richard Fon (Chairman) 36:00

We got

John Kincart 36:00

Caremount medical

Richard Fon (Chairman) 36:02

County Health Department came in and cited us we actually had to pay a fine because our highway guys were smoking. They said within the building, they said in a garage.

John Kincart 36:13

Wow.

Richard Fon (Chairman) 36:14

And they didn't want to hear anything about it. So maybe we have to give it maybe there should be a designated smoking area.

William LaScala 36:22

Yeah.

Richard Fon (Chairman) 36:23

and I don't know where that would best be. Maybe you guys have to figure that out or maybe we could put that in there.

William LaScala 36:29

We could make a designation 50 feet within the building.

Ed Lachterman 36:33

Well, actually I think the county has 100 feet designated.

Richard Fon (Chairman) 36:36

Yeah.

John Kincart 36:37

That'd be binding at the town hall for instance, they could go into the parking lot. I mean, not that you want them near Rob's food truck but you know the

Ed Lachterman 36:49

They've been walking out towards the towards the sidewalk in front of town hall which is probably makes the hundred foot barrier.

John Kincart 36:57

Well, if they're out that far, but you know, I think I think what we both experienced is going through the, you know, running the gauntlet there trying to get into the front door of the town of all times and, and you have receptacles for butts in every cigarette butts and everything right there at the entrance of the building. I mean,

Ed Lachterman 37:18

Which should not be right.

John Kincart 37:19

Yeah. I mean, it just invites that kind of activity. So, you know, they can go smoke with the kids over at the skate park.

Richard Fon (Chairman) 37:26

Yeah, the optics to if you got town employees out in front of the hall there right smoking people see that there?

John Kincart 37:33

Right.

Richard Fon (Chairman) 37:34

All right. So why don't we leave an area that's designated for smoking and at the discretion of the town board?

John Kincart 37:40

That that'd be great. I think this board has, you know,

Richard Fon (Chairman) 37:46

Work Ed?

Ed Lachterman 37:47

Yeah, that sounds good.

Richard Fon (Chairman) 37:49

All right, John. We'll put together a letter?

John Tegeder 37:51

We'll do.

Richard Fon (Chairman) 37:52

Let me ask one thing before we close out. Do you think it would be worthwhile if we did, like a look back at the last four years of projects that have fallen off the wayside or disappeared, and maybe backtrack and reach out to them to see where they are or what happened?

Joseph Riina 38:13

We could do that.

John Kincart 38:14

I think that'd be great. I'd love to see data Osceola beach, come back.

Richard Fon (Chairman) 38:19

Nice project.

John Kincart 38:21

Okay, we got to get the sewer there. So you know,

John Tegeder 38:24

Yeah we can do that.

John Kincart 38:26

You know, guys in town, I think Joe and Dan and guys that know the area that would be willing to work on designing something with the sewer there. But that would just that would really, you know, going back to the discussions we had on the transition team, with the the current supervisor, you know, developing these Hamlet's and giving them an identity and bringing vibrancy to the town. I mean, we're stuck. I mean, talk about the first hundred days and being tested by fire. You know, this whole current administration is really, you know, been doing a terrific job. But looking forward, you know, through the decades coming, I think giving identities and vibrancy to each of the Hamlet's is going to give a real vibrancy to the town and it's something that we have that not every town has, you know, some towns have incorporated villages, some have, you know, you know, some cities, but I think the fact that we do have five Hamlet areas, and we are talking about bringing, you know, development to them, that makes sense. The sewer line up on the north end of town, obviously, it's a it's a big thing that we have to get before we can develop those areas. It's overtaxing, what's going on there now and we know that everything's running into that Lake and we've talked about it when applications came up, but I think that's a great idea. Rich, I'd love to see some of these applications come back.

Richard Fon (Chairman) 40:08

All right so Thomas, Thomas has some homework now. Well, now I can really, Thomas You're working from home Thomas.

Tom D'Agostino 40:19

Yes, I am.

Richard Fon (Chairman) 40:20

Hello. What are you doing? Can we have his phone number? Check it out.

John Tegeder 40:25

I have it for you.

Richard Fon (Chairman) 40:27

Are we missing anything?

John Kincart 40:29

I think we're good. Nancy, nice job on the minutes.

Richard Fon (Chairman) 40:33

Robyn and john very nice job during the meeting.

John Tegeder 40:36

I think it worked out. I think it worked out pretty good.

Richard Fon (Chairman) 40:38

Yeah.

John Kincart 40:40

Great.

John Tegeder 40:40

Holding up.

Richard Fon (Chairman) 40:41

All right. Motion to close the meeting

William LaScala 40:43

Motion.

John Kincart 40:44

Second.

Richard Fon (Chairman) 40:45

All those in favor.

John Kincart 40:46

Aye.

John Savoca 40:47

Aye.

Richard Fon (Chairman) 40:48

All right, all

William LaScala 40:49

good night, guys.

Richard Fon (Chairman) 40:52

Good night, everyone.

Various 40:53

Good night.

Richard Fon (Chairman) 40:54

Oops, I got my pen, John

Tom D'Agostino 40:56

How many times did you drop your pen?

Richard Fon (Chairman) 41:00

All right all be safe

Various 41:03

bye