

Meeting of the Town Board, Town of Yorktown held on Tuesday, February 25, 2020 at the Town Hall, 363 Underhill Avenue, Yorktown Heights, New York 10598.

Present: Matthew J. Slater, Supervisor
Thomas P. Diana, Councilman
Edward Lachterman, Councilman
Vishnu V. Patel, Councilman
Alice E. Roker, Councilwoman

Also Present: Diana L. Quast, Town Clerk
Adam Rodriguez, Interim Town Attorney

TOWN BOARD MEETING

Supervisor Matthew Slater called the meeting to order.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion made by Councilman Lachterman, seconded by Councilwoman Roker, the Town Board moved into Executive Session to discuss personnel issues and conduct volunteer board interviews. Upon motion made by Councilman Lachterman, seconded by Councilwoman Roker, the Town Board moved out of Executive Session and proceeded with the meeting.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Supervisor Slater led the Pledge of Allegiance.

MOMENT OF SILENCE

A moment of silence was observed in honor of our men and women serving in the Armed Forces, our first responders, and police officers.

LABERGE GROUP

Mr. Ben Syden from the LaBerge Group came to talk to the Board about the services his company provides; specifically grant writing. He began by saying his company is a full service municipal consulting firm – engineering, planning, architecture, grant writing, and government operations and efficiencies. He said his company has won more than \$245 million dollars in grants since 2000. Mr. Syden introduced Scott Siegel, Community Development Manager for the LaBerge Group, whose team solely does planning and grants. He is proposing an annual grant contract, which begins with his company getting to know each of the Town's departments and their needs. They then put together a process and move forward with identifying grants on a monthly basis, and then write the grants. Mr. Syden said many other companies put together a contract and bill monthly like a retainer fee. They have an hourly rate that works towards a cap; they will only write a grant with the Town's authorization. Therefore, if the Town does not use the budget line set that becomes money saved for the Town. He said that money is not charged for services not rendered.

Mr. Siegel discussed how the Town's needs would be assessed. He and/or a member of his team would schedule meetings with department heads to get an idea of what the Town's projects are. The Town would then get a report from LaBerge of everyone they spoke to, what the needs are and how LaBerge can go about getting funding assistance to meet the needs. A funding matrix is produced which would show the Town a comprehensive list of what opportunities exist, what project the funds would go towards, any grant match requirements, and an explanation of the grant. This helps the Town to prepare for specific grants and budget accordingly.

Supervisor Slater wanted to make clear that entertaining such a proposal in no way diminishes the grant writing responsibilities that have been performed by John Tegeder, Director of Planning, and his staff. He wanted to make sure that there is clear synergy between the services LaBerge supplies and the Planning Department's efforts in writing and administering the grants.

Mr. Siegel said that from the time they begin the assessment of the various departments, it would take about a month to have the funding matrix in hand. Any applications done now would be for projects that are going to take place in 2021. He said they also want to ensure that the Town is as project-ready as possible so when funding is awarded, the Town would be able to start right away. Supervisor Slater also made sure that LaBerge would also do the review of grant applications to ensure that the Town qualifies and meets all necessary requirements.

Mr. Syden said LaBerge will not waste Town time or money when identifying grant opportunities – they will only advise the Town of grants for which they would be eligible or qualify. He said that they have a full set of engineers and planners who write the applications and then grant writers review them to ensure the language supports the grant requirements.

Mr. Tegeder said one of the keys of the LaBerge Group that he wanted to highlight was that they are looking for “shovel-ready” types of projects. He said that the Town has, in the past, been guilty of employing a bit of a scatter approach to applications (particularly with the CFA). He said the Town has gotten grants, which in hindsight, for which we were not quite ready to spend money. Mr. Tegeder said he thought it would be a good idea to have a group like LaBerge on board to at least guide us and tell us when we are getting a little ahead of ourselves.

A discussion ensued regarding examples of past projects of the LaBerge Group and how they were handled.

Town Engineer Michael Quinn said he was in favor of having another resource available to them in grant writing and applications.

Supervisor Slater said this also serves to getting department heads in the mindset of planning projects and time tables, what is required for grants and preparing documentation for applications.

NATIONAL AWARENESS ON MENTAL ILLNESS

Mr. Eugene McGrath, from Westchester NAMI (National Awareness on Mental Illness), appeared before the Board regarding a special way to raise awareness this year. Mr. McGrath spoke about the placement of ribbons along Commerce Street during the month of May 2019, which is National Mental Health Awareness Month, and was requesting to do so again this year. He would like to expand placing ribbons in other areas where there is pedestrian traffic (East Main Street, Commerce Street, the Gazebo on Veterans Road). Jim Martorano, Parks & Recreation Superintendent also suggested locations such as Downing Park, Granite Knolls, and Legacy Fields. Mr. McGrath said the time frame is to get the ribbons placed on May 2nd and 3rd and to take them down by May 31st.

Councilwoman Roker asked if in addition to the ribbons, was NAMI going to have pamphlets with contact phone numbers listed available. Supervisor Slater suggested at the first Town Board Meeting in May, NAMI come and do a presentation in order to highlight the Awareness Month.

The Town Board discussed their full support of the work and services provided by NAMI.

ETHICS BOARD

Mr. James Martorano Sr., Chairperson of the Ethics Board, and Mr. Bob Giordano and Mr. Larry Kilian, Members of the Ethics Committee, came before the Board to discuss complaint review and proposed revisions. Supervisor Slater said there was a complaint filed in October 2019 upon which the Committee has rendered a decision. Mr. Martorano said this decision was done under the previous board. Mr. Martorano thanked the Town Board for their support and the hours they spend on the work they do. Mr. Martorano said that this complaint made the committee examine the law, which they found to be incoherent. He said there was a presumptive conflict of interest if within a year after leaving public office, a person goes before a board on a project. Although this is a presumptive conflict, it is rebuttable. This is where the law was confusing – it does not say rebuttable in what way or define what “conflict” is. He said in this particular case, there was no conflict but this sparked the committee to reviewing other towns’ ethics laws and found them to be much clearer. Therefore, Mr. Martorano would like to submit changes to Section 45-10(C) for the verbiage to be clearer and clean up ambiguities.

Supervisor Slater and Councilwoman Roker both supported the Ethics Committee’s review and suggestions to the current law.

Mr. Martorano said, for example, Section 45-18 addresses the Town Attorney being an ex officio of the Ethics Board is also to be examined. The town attorney may find himself in a position where he feels conflicted in that he is to represent the Board, yet also have to handle a complaint against a Board member. Mr. Martorano said the town attorney should be made available to the Ethics Board for council and review, therefore, that section should either be changed, enhanced, or eliminated.

A discussion took place regarding the annual Ethics Statement. There are some individuals who have not submitted the statement and Ethics Secretary Mr. Sergio Esposito will follow up with them. It was stated that non-decision making boards are not included in this requirement and it was suggested that the Town Code be changed to include them.

Mr. Martorano said one sensitive area of the Ethics Board is that it not become a political tool. He wanted to assure the Town Board that they are non-partisan and completely removed from politics. They care only for the enforcement of the Ethics Law. No anonymous complaints will be entertained by the Ethics Board.

A discussion took place regarding the process of complaints to the Ethics Board.

Town Clerk Diana Quast reminded the Town Board if they want to make a change to the Code regarding who receives the Ethics Statements, those mailings go out in March. Mr. Martorano said the change would probably not be until next year.

Councilman Lachterman, referring to the October 2019 complaint, said that since they have a decision where a case was dismissed and this case was used as a political tool to besmirch someone's reputation, the decision should be made public.

Councilwoman Roker asked what the Code says about decisions. Town Attorney Adam Rodriguez said there is no provision in the Code for a dismissal; this has been written up by the Ethics Committee's own volition. The only time the Code provides for a written document is when there is a recommendation to the Board of some sort of action to be taken, in which case that document would be made public.

Councilman Lachterman said this should be a matter of discussion. Councilwoman Roker said that if this complaint resulted in a dismissal being issued, the Board should not have been given the document.

CON EDISON GASLINE REPLACEMENT PROJECT

Representatives from Con Edison came to discuss various replacement projects in Town in 2020. A system reinforcement project on Gomer Street (Fairview to Granite Springs) to bring a new feed to the area. Last year they finished about 100 feet north of Granite Springs Road and right now their engineering department is in the process of validating the work they have done over the last couple of years to determine whether or not they can change from a steel pipeline to a plastic pipeline. The remaining area of the project will run from Granite Springs to Broad and north on Granite Springs to a newly installed regulator near Sarles Drive. Once engineering validates whether they need to have steel or plastic, they will know the length of the project. If it remains steel, the expectation is to get to Broad Street this year by the end of the year. They will then continue in 2021 to completion. If it is determined they can use plastic pipes, they would be able to complete the entire project this year. They should know this determination within the next couple of weeks.

Highway Superintendent David Paganelli asked, with respect to the work done on Broad Street, which is plastic, if Con Edison was going to continue down Broad Street. He was told that this is the remaining part of the other project on Hanover/Moseman/Front Street and Moseman. They left off at Hanover and Underhill and will be working their way towards the Triangle Shopping Center up to Broad Street. They will connect to the Granite Spring project at Broad Street; joining the two projects together.

Councilwoman Roker asked if the conditions the Town put in place and notification of area residents were still in place and was told yes. Supervisor Slater said that two police officers were being funded for these projects and asked if additional resources were funded for traffic detours. The Con Edison representative said if that is what is needed, that is what will be done.

Supervisor Slater asked what the plan for Route 35 is – what time of day, etc. The Con Edison Representative said that they will be working with the NYS Department of State with their conditions.

Councilwoman Roker also asked for assurance that the Town is kept up to date on where Con Edison will be working each day so it can be posted on the Town's website and residents can plan their traffic plans. Councilman Lachterman also suggested doing NIXEL alerts.

Mr. Paganelli said roads will be paved curb to curb upon completion of the work. The Board and Mr. Paganelli discussed putting additional police resources in spots where traffic may be problematic, especially in the center of Town.

MOHEGAN AVE

Mr. Mike Quinn, Town Engineer, and a representative from Charles Manganaro Consulting Engineers, came to discuss the plans for the reconstruction of the Mohegan Avenue retaining wall and the planned substitution of Strong Stone for RediRock materials that has been proposed. Dave Paganelli, Highway Superintendent, said he does not have a problem with the substitution and is just waiting for the materials to be delivered.

Supervisor Slater said he wants to make sure the stormwater retrofits that will help Mohegan Lake are part of the project.

The representative from Charles Manganaro said that based on the report and information given and the calculations for this substitution, everything is in order. Although the substitution will leave a bigger footprint of the wall, it meets all NYS codes.

Councilman Patel asked what is the main difference between the materials. Mr. Quinn said the main difference is that the original wall design was made of solid concrete blocks and the proposed substitute design is for hollow concrete blocks to be filled in with drainage stone. A credit is also being offered to the Town for the substitution. Basically he said that all investigations of the product have shown that the substitution will be appropriate.

Councilman Diana asked why was the substitution called for? His understanding was that the substitute product was more available. Mr. Paganelli said that, yes, the Strong Stone was more available than RediRock, which would allow the project to proceed in a timely manner. Councilman Diana said the Town Board has waited a long time for this report and still would like to know why, if the Town Board has waiting nearly a year for the project to proceed, why the RediRock was not made available.

The Manganaro representative said that he believed it was done to preserve the \$18,000 credit to the Town. Councilwoman Roker said at the time the Board was told that it would take longer to get the blocks RediRock and the Strong Stone would save money. Mr. Paganelli said he believed the way the bid specs were written had language that left the door open for a suitable substitution, which put the Town in a more vulnerable position if they did not honor the verbiage in the contract.

Supervisor Slater said that we now have a report that says the Strong Stone is a suitable substitution for the RediRock, so the question now becomes are we ready to begin the project? Mr. Paganelli said the Town should reach out to Remus, the contractor, to let him know the Town is ready to begin the work.

Supervisor Slater asked Mr. Quinn if he is comfortable with the substitution and Mr. Quinn replied yes.

Councilwoman Roker said a copy of the report must be filed with the Town Clerk's office. This was supported by Town Clerk Diana Quast.

A history of the bid award was discussed. Supervisor Slater recapped the situation and said that, in theory, the contractor could be contacted and told to begin. Councilwoman Roker said this should only be once the Board receives a copy of the report. Supervisor Slater asked Mr. Quinn again if he was confident that this was a suitable substitute. Mr. Quinn said yes and the Town is ready to start physical construction of the project.

Councilman Diana said he is not okay with the situation since this product (Strong Stone) comes in about \$40,000 less than RediRock and the Town is getting back \$18,000 for a material that provides a little bit of drainage for which the Town is providing the drainage materials. Mr. Paganelli said yes, this is correct.

Mr. Quinn said the drainage portion is a retrofit of catch basins around the lake. Supervisor Slater asked the Manganaro representative for information regarding the cost of the material and was told they still do not have the answer. Mr. Quinn said the contractor provided only an hour ago. He said they had asked them yesterday for some cost backup on how they arrived at an \$18,000 credit. He said the report says the blocks cost a little bit less but the stone to fill in the hollows takes up some of that cost savings. Mr. Quinn said the \$18,000 savings comes from the labor because they will be able to do the job quicker. He said the backup they provided has the material costs being “a wash” and the savings is on the labor end. Mr. Quinn said Manganaro is going to talk directly to the manufacturer to confirm the costs of the materials in the backup material sent by the contractor, which is appropriate.

Mr. Paganelli said that the Town Board, himself, and the Town Engineer need to be concerned that this is a suitable substitution. The representative said that RediRock is a solid block in terms of stability but the other (Strong Stone) will make up for that in a bigger footprint.

Supervisor Slater asked if the RediRock material is available at this point and was told that is information they are trying to obtain. Mr. Paganelli said if the Town wants to go with the RediRock, that would be fine, but we will just have to live with how long it takes to do the project. He said RediRock has to be made to order; Strong Stone is in stock. Mr. Quinn said that the calculations and drawings have to be done before the RediRock can be ordered. Councilman Diana said that no drawings should have to be done since they were done when the RediRock was going to be initially ordered.

Supervisor Slater said they need to put an end to this issue. If the contractor can get the RediRock by April 1, then go with RediRock. If not, then go with Strong Stone. When the Board gets the report and it says Strong Stone is a suitable substitution, then go with the suitable substitution. Supervisor Slater said that Remus should be brought in to have a meeting regarding the credit and the substitution of materials. Mr. Quinn said he would set up the meeting.

Supervisor Slater wanted to emphasize to Mr. Quinn that the stormwater retrofits and the drainage are going to be part of the project. He said this is a firm commitment to be part of the project. Mr. Quinn spoke of three other stormwater drainage catch basins that they want to put in and spoke to the contractor about adding these three to the retro fit list at a cost of about \$1,500 each. There is an additional work allowance in the contract already and we will be able to get them done.

Supervisor Slater recapped the next steps for the project; ultimately April 1 is the start date.

WESTCHESTER MODULAR – STORMWATER PERMIT AND TREE REMOVAL PERMIT

Mr. Mike Quinn, Town Engineer, and the engineer from Westchester Modular came before the Board to discuss their permit application. Mr. Quinn explained that this is for a vacant lot on Madison Court off Route 202; the applicant is proposing single-family home on a lot that has existing water and sewer on the street. The excavation will require the removal of more than 200 cubic yards (over the threshold), which is necessitating a Town Board action. They are asking for a Town Board approved stormwater management permit and tree removal. Councilwoman Roker asked if it was sent to the Conservation Board first; Mr. Quinn said no. She said she spoke with the Conservation Board who said that they would like to see all stormwater permits. Mr. Quinn said this was not something that he had done before. Supervisor Slater asked if the Planning Board saw this application and Mr. Quinn said no. The project engineer said whatever they excavate will be spread around the house and property and not take offsite. Mr. Quinn said they received the application mid-January; he did not think a referral would be needed. Councilman Diana said he did not think a referral was needed at this phase of the project. Councilwoman Roker disagreed and felt the advisory boards should be reviewing these kinds of applications.

Town Clerk Diana Quast explained that typically when these types of applications come in, they are given to the Town Clerk’s office who then refers them to the Town Board. They are then placed on a work session agenda and from there the Board decides if they need to refer it out. As a matter of comment, Mrs. Quast said that has not been happening. Mr. Quinn said they were doing that until the last month or two because they understood work session items went to Supervisor Slater’s secretary because the application was a Town Board action.

Mrs. Quast said Town Board issued permits should come to the Town Clerk's office – this has always been the practice. She stated that if the Town Engineer feels it is over the threshold, it comes to her office to be sent to the Town Board.

Councilwoman Roker said these types of applications should always be sent to the pertinent advisory boards.

Supervisor Slater said they have been having weekly meetings and if there were questions on the process, Mr. Quinn had ample time to bring this up.

Mr. Quinn said he does not recall speaking about this directly and feels the Town Board has changed the process, of which he was not aware.

Supervisor Slater said again there was ample time to discuss this before bringing an applicant to the Board and wasting the applicant's time.

Councilman Lachterman asked the applicant if they were trying to break ground on this now and was told yes.

Mr. Quinn said he is okay with the project and has drafted conditions.

Supervisor Slater asked to have this put on next week's agenda for issuance of the permit.

OLD LOGGING ROAD – STORMWATER AND WETLAND PERMIT

Mr. Mike Quinn, Town Engineer said this project is for the dredging of a pond on a parcel that has a single family home. They will be dredging the pond, which requires a stormwater permit and a wetland permit. It is more than 200 cubic yards of dredging, so it is a Town Board action, and a Town Board issued wetland permit will require a public hearing. The project engineer, Tracy Chalifoux, described the project, which is a diver-assisted suction method of pond dredging. The pond is about 9200 square feet, has existed for decades, and to their knowledge, has never been edged. A lot of organics have accumulated over time that are compromising the habitat and raising the temperature of the water. The dredging is necessary in order to improve the habitat. Ms. Chalifoux explained that this method of dredging is very environmentally sensitive. The method is to create a level pad on the eastern shore of the pond that will have a porous geo textile tube. Workers will wade around the pond with what is basically a giant wet vacuum that will suction the water and sediment into the tube. The level of the pond will not be reduced with this method and will not affect any wildlife. Over time the geotube will fill up and the water would seep out and be directed back into the pond.

Councilman Patel asked what will happen to the dry material that is collected. Ms. Chalifoux said the dry material will be taken offsite to a different town and the contractor will provide certification of where it is going. The amount of material removed will be about 520 cubic yards wet and after it dries out will be approximately 200 cubic yards. The eastern shore of the pond will be restored to preconstruction grades and reseeded. They will also be removing some invasive shrubs and replacing them with native shrubs.

Ms. Chalifoux introduced Mr. Mark Amler from Pristine Waters, the contractor doing the dredging. Mr. Amler said there are no actual mechanical items within the body of the pond. There is a small priming pump on a small barge that primes a bigger pump that sits on land.

Highway Superintendent David Paganelli asked how the silt would be removed from the Town and what kind of vehicle will be used on that road, given its condition. Mr. Amler said he does not handle that portion but it will be two or three tri-axle vehicles. Mr. Quinn said he did ask for a truck management plan to show how the trucks will get in and out of the area and where they are disposing of the material. Mr. Paganelli said this is a 14-foot wide road that barely is wide enough for two cars.

Councilwoman Roker asked Ms. Chalifoux how often a lake or pond should be dredged. Ms. Chalifoux said it depends upon the conditions that are contributing to the eutrophication in the body of water. Mr. Amler said eutrophication is a natural cycle that occurs over time and happens more quickly in man-made lakes and ponds. Sometimes only sections of the lakes and ponds need to be dredged. He said the dredging of the pond on Old Logging Road should last between ten and twenty years before it starts showing signs of filling in.

Councilwoman Roker asked Mr. Amler to stop and look at Sparkle Lake and let the Board know what he thinks about its condition. Mr. Amler said he would be happy to and give the Town Board his opinion; however he suggests the Town consult with a limnologist who will give the real science behind this.

Mr. Quinn said his department had some technical review comments which are relatively minor in nature.

Councilwoman Roker said this will have to be referred to the Conservation Board since it is a request for a wetlands permit.

REFERRAL AND SET PUBLIC HEARING FOR WETLANDS PERMIT - OLD LOGGING ROAD POND DREDGING RESOLUTION #79

Upon motion made by Councilwoman Roker, seconded by Councilman Lachterman,

Resolved, the Town Clerk is authorized to refer out to the agencies listed below for their review and/or recommendation the Wetlands/Stormwater Permit Application received from Tracy Chalifoux, LLC for property located at 1390 Old Logging Road, Yorktown Heights, New York, also known as Section 47.17-1-31 on the Tax Map of the Town of Yorktown for the dredging of a pond and removal of approximately 520 cubic yards of wet sediment removal. The Town Clerk would like any comments or recommendations by Friday, March 13, 2020.

- New York State: New York State DEC, Region 3
New York District – US Army Corps of Engineers
- New York City: New York City Department of Environmental Protection

- Westchester County: Westchester County Planning Department/Board
Westchester County Soil & Water Conservation District
Westchester County Department of Health

- Town of Yorktown: Town Board
Conservation Board
Planning Department/Board
Town Attorney
Town Engineer

Be It Further Resolved, the Town Board declares its intent to act as Lead Agency, and

Be It Further Resolved, that notice is hereby given that a Public Hearing will be convened by the Town Board, Town of Yorktown, Westchester County, New York at the Town Hall, 363 Underhill Avenue, Yorktown Heights, New York on the 17th day of March, 2020 at 7:30 o'clock PM to consider the above-mentioned application.

Slater, Diana, Lachterman, Patel, Roker Voting Aye
Resolution Adopted.

TEATOWN LAKE RESERVATION – STORMWATER AND WETLAND PERMIT (GENERAL MAINTENANCE PERMIT)

Mr. Mike Quinn, Town Engineer, stated that Teatown Lake Reservation is planning several projects on their property. Their larger project is to dredge a wetland area, which requires a wetland permit, a stormwater permit, and a tree removal permit. Due to the amounts being over the threshold, it is a Town Board action that will also require a public hearing. Teatown is also planning a smaller project to apply an herbicide to remove invasive species from a wetland area. Mr. Quinn said the Board may want to consider combining both activities into one permit, which would allow them to use some of their funds for good use in the field. He also suggested they may want to consider applying for these projects under a General Permit so that work can be done over the next couple of years so that, as a non-profit, they do not have to keep paying permit fees.

Ms. Barbara Restaino, landscape architect, presented the plans for the project. She and the contractor have done a full stormwater prevention plan and are proposing to remove .4 acres of sediment and in order to prevent this from continually happening, they are going to put in a small

sedimentation basin that Teatown will be able to maintain. An access construction drive will be added to access the area and be partially removed upon completion. Replanting of native plants will also take place upon completion. Silt socks will be used to dredge the area, which she said are more effective in this area. Councilwoman Roker said it makes sense to apply for a General Permit so they can do general maintenance work over the next five years without having to come back before the Board.

The Teatown representative asked what the requirements are for a General Permit and Mr. Quinn replied that it involves an annual review of the progress and conditions of the project with the Town Engineer who will then recommend to the Town Board the continuance of the General Permit. He believes this would suit Teatown's needs.

Highway Superintendent Dave Paganelli asked, with respect to Spring Valley Road, are they going to have a construction access and egress onto Spring Valley Road – another 14-15 foot wide road? The Teatown representative said the site is closer to the nature center, which has a path that will be extended. No roads will be closed.

Mr. Quinn said this project is significantly larger than the Old Logging Road project – it is approximately 600 cubic yards. It may require approximately 40 trucks to haul this much. Councilwoman Roker said the resolution should address the traffic concern; like a truck management plan.

REFERRAL AND SET PUBLIC HEARING FOR WETLANDS, STORMWATER, AND TREE REMOVAL PERMITS/GENERAL PERMIT – TEATOWN LAKE RESERVATION RESOLUTION #80

Upon motion made by Councilwoman Roker, seconded by Councilman Patel,

Resolved, that the Town Clerk is hereby authorized to refer out to the agencies listed below for their review and/or recommendation the Wetland/Stormwater/Tree Removal/General Permit Application received from Restaino Design Landscape Architecture on behalf of Teatown Lake Reservation for property located at 1600 Spring Valley Road, Ossining, New York, also known as Section 69.14-1-5 on the Tax Map of the Town of Yorktown. The request is for the restoration of a portion of the wetland below the Visitor's Center. The project involves removing approximately 600 cubic yards of sediment from a .4 acre portion of wetland, installing a sediment catch basin, installing a construction access, and planting the area of sediment removal with native shrubs, trees, and herbaceous plants. The Town Clerk would like any comments or recommendations by Friday, March 13, 2020.

New York State:	New York State DEC, Region 3 New York District – US Army Corps of Engineers
New York City:	New York City Department of Environmental Protection
Westchester County:	Westchester County Planning Department/Board Westchester County Soil & Water Conservation District Westchester County Department of Health
Town of Yorktown:	Town Board Conservation Board Tree Conservation Advisory Committee Planning Department/Board Town Attorney Town Engineer

Be It Further Resolved, the Town Board declares its intent to act as Lead Agency, and

Be It Further Resolved, notice is hereby given that a Public Hearing will be convened by the Town Board, Town of Yorktown, Westchester County, New York at the Town Hall, 363 Underhill Avenue, Yorktown Heights, New York on the 17th day of March, 2020 at 7:30 o'clock P.M. to consider the above-mention application.

Slater, Diana, Lachterman, Patel, Roker Voting Aye
Resolution Adopted.

PAR 3 GOLF COURSE – PROJECT UPDATE

Mr. James Martorano, Parks and Recreation Superintendent; Mr. Joe Falcone, Parks and Recreation Commissioner; and Mr. Sean Murphy, RC Recreation, came before the Board to give

a project update on the Par 3 Golf Course. Mr. Martorano thanked the department heads who have worked with him in order to move the project forward: John Tegeder – Director of Planning, Michael Quinn – Town Engineer, Dave Paganelli – Highway Superintendent, and John Landi – Building Inspector. He said that all of the issues regarding the DEP violation have been resolved. The violation was a result of not getting proper permitting from DEP before the project started. Mr. Quinn said in order to lift the violation, the Town had to submit an application and address some field issues. The Town has now complied and Mr. Quinn said the hope is to get the permit next week. A stormwater permit also needs to be applied for from the DEC. Mr. Murphy has been working on the site plan application and the environmental assessment form, and the SWPPP/Wetland/Tree permit application, as well as a parking plan. Mr. Martorano said serious headway has been made on the parking plan that conforms to the Town Code. Mr. Murphy presented drawings of the parking plan.

Councilman Lachterman said the Planning Board was satisfied with the plans for the onsite restaurant. Mr. Martorano said they are on the Planning Board agenda for March 23, after which they will come back before the Town Board.

In response to Supervisor Slater’s question, Mr. Martorano said there are plans at the next Parks and Recreation Commission meeting to honor the two Commission members who have left.

MARTIN LUTHER KING, JR. ESSAY REVIEW

Supervisor Slater said they received a little more than a dozen entries. The contest was going to have one male and one female winner from fourth and fifth grade; however they only had one fourth grade entry so they will now have two male and two female grade 5 winners and the only winner from grade 4. Supervisor Slater said each Board member should email their choice to his Executive Assistant, Jenna Bellcastro, by the end of the day this Thursday. Supervisor Slater thanked all of the entrants for sharing their vision and dreams for their community.

AMENDMENT FOR III-C1, III-C2, NSIP AGREEMENTS FOR INCREASED FUNDING RESOLUTION #81

Upon motion made by Councilman Lachterman, seconded by Councilman Diana,

RESOLVED, that the Town Board authorizes the Town Supervisor to sign an amendment for the III-C1, III-C2 and NSIP Agreements with Westchester County Department of Senior Programs and Services. The reason for the amendment is to increase funding received from the County by an additional \$2,357, comprised of \$1,336 for the III-C1 program and \$1,021 for the III-C2 program.

Slater, Diana, Lachterman, Patel, Roker Voting Aye
Resolution Adopted.

AGREEMENT WITH WESTCHESTER COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF SENIOR PROGRAMS & SERVICES FOR IIIB (TRANSPORTATION)

RESOLUTION #82

Upon motion made by Councilman Lachterman, seconded by Councilman Diana,

RESOLVED, that the Town Board authorizes the Town Supervisor to sign an Agreement with Westchester County Department of Senior Programs and Services for IIIB (transportation) to receive funding from the County in the amount of \$10,113. This is for the period 1/1/2020 through 12/31/2020.

Slater, Diana, Lachterman, Patel, Roker Voting Aye
Resolution Adopted.

ADJOURN MEETING

Upon motion made by Councilman Lachterman, seconded by Councilman Diana, the Town Board meeting was adjourned.

DIANA L. QUAST, TOWN CLERK
TOWN OF YORKTOWN
CERTIFIED MUNICIPAL CLERK